NATURAL PRODUCTS

Metacridamides A and B, Macrocycles from Conidia of the Entomopathogenic Fungus *Metarhizium acridum*

Stuart B. Krasnoff,^{*,†} Ulrich Englich,[‡] Paula G. Miller,[§] Michael L. Shuler,[§] Raymond P. Glahn,[†] Bruno G. G. Donzelli,^{\perp} and Donna M. Gibson[†]

[†]USDA-ARS-Biological IPM Research Unit, Ithaca, New York 14853, United States

[‡]The Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source, Ithaca, New York 14853, United States

[§]Department of Biomedical Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, United States

[⊥]Department of Plant Pathology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: *Metarhizium acridum,* an entomopathogenic fungus, has been commercialized and used successfully for biocontrol of grasshopper pests in Africa and Australia. Its conidia produce two novel 17-membered macrocycles, metacridamides A (1) and B (2), which consist of a Phe unit condensed with a nonaketide. Planar structures were elucidated by a combination of mass spectrometric and NMR techniques. Following hydrolysis of 1, chiral amino acid analysis assigned the L-configuration to the Phe unit. A crystal structure established the absolute configuration of the eight remaining stereogenic centers in 1. Metacridamide A (1) showed cytotoxicity to three cancer lines with IC₅₀'s of 6.2, 11.0, and 10.8 μ M against Caco-2 (epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma), MCF-7 (breast cancer), and HepG2/C3A (hepatoma) cell lines, respectively. In addition, metacridamide B (2) had an IC₅₀ of 18.2 μ M against HepG2/C3A, although it was inactive at 100 μ M against Caco-2 and MCF-7. Neither analogue showed antimicrobial, phytotoxic, or insecticidal activity.



Metarhizium acridum (Bischoff, Humber, and Rehner) (= M. *anisopliae* var. *acridum*) (Cordicepitaceae) is an entomopathogenic fungus with a host-range limited to grasshoppers in the orthopteran family Acrididae.¹ The conidia of M. *acridum* constitute the active ingredient of commercially produced biopesticides, marketed as Green Muscle and Green Guard, that are used for control of migratory locusts in Africa and Australia, respectively.² Application of Green Muscle against Red Locust over 10 000 ha of Iku-Kitavu National Park, Tanzania in 2009 was credited with curtailing a potentially devastating locust outbreak and averting extensive crop losses that could have affected the food security of ca. 15 million people in the region.³

We have an ongoing interest in the secondary metabolites of biocontrol fungi in the genus *Metarhizium*^{4,5} with a focus on the possibility that they contribute to pathogenicity and/or virulence.^{6,7} The widespread dissemination of *M. acridum* for insect biocontrol compels continued study of its basic biology to gain insights that can lead to improved efficacy and shelf life of the formulated product^{8,9} and to expose potential chemical risks associated with use of these fungi as biopesticides.⁵

We recently identified the serinocyclins, cyclic heptapeptides produced by conidia of *M. acridum* and *M. robertsii*, and identified the gene responsible for their biosynthesis in the latter.^{4,6} The discovery of the serinocyclins from conidia as opposed to mycelium or broth from a liquid culture revealed an underexploited source of natural product diversity in *Metarhizium* fungi. Herein we describe the isolation, characterization, and biological activity of metacridamides A (1) and B (2), two novel cytotoxic macrocycles from the conidia of *M. acridum*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Low-resolution positive ion ESIMS analyses of crude hexane extracts of conidia of *M. acridum* (ARSEF #3341) showed a predominant signal at m/z 632 consistent with the sodium adduct $[M + Na]^+$ of a compound with a molecular mass of 609 Da. Negative ion spectra showing peaks at m/z 608 $[M - H]^-$, 644/646 $[M + Cl]^-$, and 654 $[M + HCOO]^-$ supported this conclusion. As there were no other candidates with a unit mass of 609 Da previously reported from *M. acridum*, the compound was earmarked for isolation and characterization.

RP HPLC of the crude hexane extracts produced chromatograms with two major peaks at $t_{\rm R} = 6.50$ and 5.53 min, with the latter as the minor peak. Preparative RP HPLC led to the isolation of the major compound 1 at $t_{\rm R} = 6.50$ min, which was shown by ESIMS to have a molecular mass of 609 Da. Compound 2, giving rise to the minor peak, gave a prominent signal in the positive ion ESIMS at m/z 590, consistent with the $[M + Na]^+$ of a compound with a molecular mass of 567 Da. The 42 Da difference between the pseudomolecular ions of 1 and 2 suggested an acetylated compound and its deacetyl analogue. MS analysis of a sample of 1 dissolved in CH₃OD for

Received: September 7, 2011 Published: January 31, 2012



Table 1. NMR Spectroscopic Data for Metacridamides A (1) and B (2)

	metacridamide A $(1)^a$			metacridamide B (2) ^b		
position	$\delta_{\rm C}$, mult. ^c	$\delta_{ m H}~(J~{ m in}~{ m Hz})^d$	HMBC ^e	$\delta_{ m C}{}^f$	$\delta_{ m H}~(J~{ m in}~{ m Hz})^d$	HMBC ^e
2	170.9, qC			173.1		
3	53.0, CH	4.94, m	2, 5, 25	54.4	4.85 br, s	
4		5.91, d (8.6)	2, ^g 3, 5, 25		8.21, d (9.1)	3, 5
5	168.7, qC			172.6		
6	132.7, qC			132.5		
7	133.7, CH	6.03, dd (9.5, 1.4)	5, 8, ^g 9, 30, 31	136.9	6.15, d (9.5)	5, 30
8	35.5, CH	2.84, m	6, 7, 9, ^g 31	36.8	2.81, ^{<i>h</i>} m	6, 7, 31
9	78.3, CH	3.95, s	7, 8, 10, 11, 31, 32, 33 ^{g,i}	79.3	3.94, s	7, 8, 10, 11, 31, 32, 33 ^{g,i}
10	137.1, qC			136.3		
11	124.3, CH	5.02, m	9, 10, ^g 12, ^g 13, ^g 32, 33	127.4	5.26, d (10.5)	9, 32, 33
12	36.6, CH	2.83, m	9, ^{<i>g</i>,<i>i</i>} 10, 11, 13, 33	40.7	2.73, ddd (10.5, 7.0, 3.6)	10, 11, 13, 33
13	81.4, CH	4.92, m	11, 12, 14, 15, 33, 34, Ac C=O	81.0	3.84, d (10.2)	11, 12, 14, 15, 33, 34
14	130.9, qC			136.0		
15	131.0, CH	5.11, dd (10.0)	13, 16, 17, 34, 35 ^g	130.3	5.12, d (10.2)	13, 16, 17, 34, 35
16	33.5, CH	2.71, m	14, 15, 35	35.5	2.81, ^{<i>h</i>} m	14, 15, 35
17	82.7, CH	4.61, dd (8.8, 2.3)	2, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 35	83.7	4.68, dd (8.0, 1, 8)	2, 15, 16, ^g 18, ^g 19, 23, 35
18	33.7, CH	1.61, m	19, 20, 23	35.2	1.70, m	19 ^g
19	40.2, CH ₂	1.10, ddd (13.3, 9.5, 3.8)	17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24	42.3	1.29, m	18, 20, 21, 23, 24
		0.84, m	17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24		0.91, m	18, 20, 21, 23, 24
20	31.2, CH	1.38, m		33.0	1.43, m	
21	27.6, CH ₂	1.28, m	19, 20, 22, ^g 24	29.4	1.39, m	19, ^g 20, 22, ^g 24
		0.84, m	19, 20, 22, 24		0.96, m	19, ^g 20, 22, 24
22	10.8, CH ₃	0.74, t (7.4)	20, 21	11.7	0.85, t (7.4)	20, 21
23	16.0, CH ₃	0.75, d (6.7)	17, 18, 19	16.3	0.77, d (6.7)	17, 18, 19
24	20.5, CH ₃	0.81, d (6.6)	19, 20, 21	20.8	0.88	19, 20, 21
25	36.5, CH ₂	3.27, dd (14.0, 6.1)	2, 3, 26, 27	37.2	3.33, dd (14.1, 5.4)	2, 3, 26, 27
		3.20, dd (14.0, 5.8)	2, 3, 26, 27		2.95, dd (14.1, 10.2)	2, 3, 26, 27
26	137.0, qC			139.5		
27, 27'	129.8, CH	7.20, m	25, 29	130.4	7.233, m	25, 29
28, 28'	128.6, CH	7.27, m	26, 27 ^g	129.4	7.235, m	26
29	127.0, CH	7.21, m	27, 28 ^g	127.6	7.17, m	27, 28 ^g
30	13.1, CH ₃	1.83, d (1.4)	5, 6, 7, $8^{g,j}, 9^{g,i}, 31^{g,i}$	13.6	1.65, d (1.4)	5, 6, 7, 31 ^{g,i}
31	19.4, CH ₃	1.19, d (7.0)	7, 8, 9	19.3	1.18, d (7.0)	6, ^{g,j} 7, 8, 9
32	15.0, CH ₃	1.61, m	8, ^{g,j} 9, 10, 11, 12 ^{g,j}	15.2	1.60, d (0.6)	9, 10, 11
33	16.1, CH ₃	0.92, d (7.0)	11, 12, 13, 34 ^{g,i}	16.1	0.93, d (7.0)	11, 12, 13, 34 ^{g,i}
34	13.6, CH ₃	1.63, d (1.3)	12, ^{g,j} 13, 14, 15, 16, ^{g,j} 35 ⁱ	13.7	1.67, d (1.3)	12, ^{g,j} 13, 14, 15, 35 ^{g,i}
35	18.2, CH ₃	0.67, d (6.9)	15, 16, 17	19.8	1.02, d (6.9)	15, 16, 17
Ac C= O	169.8, qC					
Ac CH ₃	21.4, CH ₃	2.04, s	Ac C=O, $13^{g,j}$			

^{*a*}CDCl₃. ^{*b*}CD₃OD (assignments and correlations for H4 obtained in CD₃OH). ^{*c*}150 MHz. ^{*d*}600 MHz. ^{*e*}HMBC correlations, optimized for 8 MHz, are from proton(s) stated to the indicated carbon. ^{*f*}125 MHz. ^{*g*}Weak cross-peak. ^{*h*}Overlapping signals. ^{*i*}5-Bond correlation (Figures S16, S32). ^{*j*}4-Bond correlation.

deuterium exchange showed $[M + Na]^+$ and $[M + K]^+$ at m/z 634 and 650, respectively, indicating the presence of two exchangeable protons.

¹H and COSY NMR spectra of 1 (Table 1) indicated the presence of 53 nonexchangeable protons, including one methyl triplet, eight methyl doublets (three of which showed coupling constants of <1 Hz, suggesting a long-range (⁴J) coupling), and a methyl singlet. Three well-resolved doublets resonated at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.03, 5.11, and 5.02, suggesting alkenyl protons. Five protons in the aromatic region suggested a monosubstituted phenyl group.

The ¹³C spectrum showed signals for 37 carbons. HSQC data corroborated the presence of 10 methyl carbons (δ_C 10.8–21.4) and revealed three sp³ methylenes, six sp³ methine carbons, three oxymethines, three alkenyl methines, and five aromatic methine carbons for a total of 30 carbons with attached protons. By a process of elimination seven quaternary

carbon signals were identified from the ¹³C spectrum, three in the carbonyl region and four in the 131–137 ppm range, consistent with alkenyl or aromatic carbons.

COSY and HSQC spectra showed three conspicuously similar spin systems, in each of which a complex multiplet in the 2.6–2.8 ppm range coupled with (1) a methyl doublet in the 0.6–1.2 ppm range, (2) a proton in the 3.9–4.9 ppm range, suggesting an oxygenated methine carbon, and (3) a doublet in the 5.1–6.1 ppm range, suggesting an olefinic methine proton vicinal to a quaternary alkenyl carbon. In the HMBC spectra the alkenyl proton either did not correlate or showed only a weak ²J correlation to a quaternary olefinic carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 130.9– 137.1). However, this carbon was correlated with another set of methyl protons ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.60–1.83) as well as with a methine proton ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.71–2.84) in all three units. The correlations associated with these three similar units indicated the 6-carbon substructure depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Six-carbon unit consistent with COSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra of **1**. Bolded bonds indicate a COSY network. Arrows indicate HMBC correlations (carbon detected by indicated proton). Double-headed arrows indicate reciprocal HMBC correlations.

The spin system of a Phe unit was revealed by a proton doublet signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.91 that showed no attached carbon in the HSQC spectrum. The assignment of this signal to an amide proton was supported by its COSY correlation with an α -methine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.94, which in turn coupled with two geminal β -protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.27 and 3.20. HMBC spectra showed correlations between the β (H25) protons and the γ (C26) and δ (C27) carbons in the phenyl ring. In addition, in HMBC spectra, both the α (H3) and amide protons correlated with both the carbonyl carbon (C2) of the Phe unit and its acylating carbonyl carbon (C5).

A COSY correlation between the methyl triplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.74 (H22) and a pair of methylene protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.28 and 0.84 (H21a,b) provided an entry point for deciphering a sevencarbon aliphatic group from NMR data as a 4-methylhexan-2-yl group.

HSQC and HMBC correlations readily confirmed the acetate group predicted from MS data as the R-group in one of the units depicted in Figure 1. In HMBC spectra the acetate methyl protons ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.04) correlated with the acetate carbonyl carbon $(\delta_{\rm C} 169.8)$ as did the methine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.92, which HSQC data indicated is attached to the oxygenated carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 81.4 (C13). The methine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.95 (H9) correlated with the quaternary alkenyl carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 137.1 (C10) and its adjacent methyl carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 15.0 (C32). These correlations thus linked the oxymethine carbon of a second unit with the quaternary alkenyl carbon of the unit carrying the acetyl group. An analogous set of correlations linked the acetylated carbon (C13) with the alkenyl quaternary carbon (C14) of the third unit. In HMBC spectra the oxymethine proton of this third unit at δ 4.61 (H17) correlated with the carbonyl carbon of the Phe group (C2) at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.9 as well as with the methine carbon of the 4-methylhexan-2-yl unit (C18) at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 33.7. The COSY correlation of the methine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.61 (H18) with H17, the HMBC correlation H23 \rightarrow C17, and its complement H17 \rightarrow C23 confirmed the linkage of C17 to C18 of the 4methylhexan-2-yl group.

The HMBC correlation between the H30 methyl protons $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.83)$ and the C5 carbonyl carbon, which acylates the amide nitrogen of the Phe unit, as well as the correlation between the H7 olefinic proton $(\delta_{\rm H} 6.03)$ and this carbonyl, established the bond between the quaternary alkenyl carbon (C6) and C5 and, thus, completed the 17-member macrocycle of 1 (Chart 1; Table 1).

HRESIMS data for 1 indicating the molecular formula $C_{37}H_{55}NO_6$ and an index of unsaturation of 11 were consistent with the planar structure deduced from NMR data and also allowed for two deuterium-exchanged protons, the amide proton and the hydroxyl proton on the C9 oxygen.

Chart 1



Direct chiral amino acid analysis by Cu^{2+} ligand-exchange chromatography indicated the presence of L-Phe in **1**. The absolute configuration of the Phe unit of **1** was identified by cochromatography of the hydrolysis product of **1** with a standard mixture consisting of D- and L-Phe (Figure S35).

The crystal structure of 1 was determined using X-ray diffraction and standard techniques (Figure 2).¹⁰ This structure,



Figure 2. Crystal structure of 1, with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

combined with the results of chiral amino acid analysis, established the absolute configuration of all nine stereocenters as well as the geometry of the three double bonds in **1** as 3*S*,6*E*,8*S*,9*R*,10*E*,12*S*,13*S*,14*E*,16*S*,17*R*.

HRESIMS data for **2** were consistent with the molecular formula $C_{35}H_{53}NO_5$, confirming that **2** differed from **1** by the replacement of the acetyl group in **1** with a hydroxyl group. NMR data for **2** lacked all signals and correlations associated with the acetyl group of **1**. Because **2** was isolated in much smaller quantities than **1**, attempts were made to conserve material for bioassays by finding an aprotic NMR solvent other than d_6 -DMSO. However, **2** was insoluble in all common lowboiling aprotic solvents tried (CDCl₃, CD₂Cl₂, CD₃CN, d_6 -

Journal of Natural Products

acetone) and was, therefore, dissolved in CD₃OD for NMR studies. As expected, the amide proton of the Phe unit of **2** was not detected in NMR experiments in CD₃OD because of deuterium exchange. An abbreviated series of experiments using CD₃OH revealed the amide proton ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.21) and its correlations (Table 1, Figures S29, S33). Most of the key correlations observed in 2-D spectra of **1** were also observed for **2** (Table 1). The absolute configuration of **2** was deduced by analogy to **1**.

The transannular ROESY correlations between the C7 olefinic methine proton and the C35 methyls were observed in the spectra of both 1 (Figure S17, S36) and 2 (Figure S34). In this respect the solution structures were consistent with the crystal structure of 1, in which the H35 methyl group is the only one of the 10 in the molecule that projects into the interior of the macrocycle, and its protons can approach within 3 Å of the H7 proton.

Weak but clearly resolved ROESY correlations to the H27 (δ -Phe) and H28 (ε -Phe) protons from H35, H31, and H7 were observed for 1 (but not for 2 possibly because the sample was much more dilute). In the crystal structure all interatomic distances involved in these correlations are greater than 6 Å and, thus, would be unlikely to produce a ROESY cross-peak (Figure S37). Using a computer-generated 3-D model (Chem-3-D Pro) of the crystal structure of 1 all the relevant interatomic distances can be brought into the 2–4 Å range by rotations around the C3–C25 (Phe α – β) and C-25–C26 (Phe β – γ) bonds (Figure S38). Thus, the ROESY data suggest that these bonds rotate freely in solution.

Metacridamide A (1) showed no antimicrobial activity at 100 μ g/disk, no insecticidal activity against *Drosophila melanogaster* at a 100 μ g dosage, no larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* at 100 μ g/mL, and no phytotoxicity activity at 50 μ g/mL against lettuce seedlings. However, it did show moderate cytotoxicity against three cancer lines, with IC₅₀ values of 6.2, 11.0, and 10.8 μ M against Caco-2 (epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma), MCF-7 (breast cancer), and HepG2/C3A (hepatoma) cell lines, respectively. In addition, metacridamide B (2) had an IC₅₀ of 18.2 μ M against HepG2/C3A, although it was inactive at 100 μ M against Caco-2 and MCF-7.

The uninterrupted 18-carbon chain starting at C5 and ending at C22 in both 1 and 2 bears the hallmarks of a polyketide.¹¹ The carbon skeleton, as well as all of the modifications of this putative nonaketide moiety, can be accounted for as products of the well-characterized functionalizing enzymes associated with type I fungal polyketide synthases (ketoreductase, dehydratase, enol-reductase, and a C-methyl transferase employing *S*adenosyl methionine as a source of methyl groups). The acetyl group at C13 of 1 could also be the product of a closely linked or heterologous acetyl transferase.

It seems likely that the Phe group, which forms the 17membered macrocycle by an ester linkage to O1 at its Cterminal and an amide linkage to C5 at its N-terminal, is incorporated by a nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS).¹² Hybrid PKS–NRPS genes are widespread in fungi.^{7,13–15} We expect that recently published genome sequence data¹⁶ will facilitate identification of hybrid synthetases in *M. acridum* and that a functional analysis using a gene knockout approach will identify the gene responsible for the metacridamide biosynthetic pathway.

A search of the literature revealed two examples of recently discovered fungal natural products whose structures and patterns of oxygenated carbons and alkenyl groups suggest biosynthetic pathways that are similar, if not homologous, to those of the metacridamides. A compound from the patent literature derived from an unidentified soil fungus combines a Phe unit with a heptaketide.¹⁷ The torrubiellutins combine an N-methylated Phe unit with a presumptive hexaketide.¹⁸ Intriguingly, the torrubiellutins, like the metacridamides, derive from an entomopathogenic fungus. Other PKS–NRPS hybrid macrocycles incorporating a Phe unit include hapalosin¹⁹ and the cytochalasins.²⁰ The chondropsins,²¹ although lacking a Phe unit, contain three iterations of the substructure depicted in Figure 1, two of which are contiguous.

As with many microbial natural products, the biological activity of the metacridamides was revealed in this study in assays that can have little if any relevance to the context in which these compounds evolved. There is no obvious adaptive rationale for the cytotoxicity of a compound produced by the spores of fungal pathogens of insects. There are now many compounds known from entomopathogenic *Metarhizium* fungi with a wide range of biological activities ascribed to them,²² but virtually nothing is known about how they function for the organisms that produce them. The looming challenge is to advance our understanding of the biology of these compounds to a point in line with our ability to define them chemically.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were measured at 25 °C in MeOH on a Rudolph Autopol IV polarimeter at 589 nm with a 100 mm cell. ¹H, ¹³C, gCOSY, DQCOSY, ROESY, HSQCAD, and gHMBCAD NMR experiments were carried out on Varian Inova 400, 500, or 600 spectrometers using either HSW, DBG broad-band decoupler, 5 mm switchable, or HCN inverse detection probes. Pulse sequences were provided in Varian VnmrJ 2.2D/ Chempack 4.1. HSQC and HMBC spectra were acquired at 600 MHz (¹H-dimension) and were optimized for ¹J_{CH} = 150, 190 Hz and ⁿJ_{CH} = 8.0, 5.0, respectively. ROESY spectra were acquired with a mixing time of 0.2 s.

NMR data were recorded for samples dissolved in CDCl₃, CD₃OD, or CD₃OH. ¹H chemical shifts were referenced to the singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.26 or center of the residual CHD₂OD quintuplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.31. ¹³C chemical shifts were referenced to the center of the ¹³CD₃OD septuplet at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 49.15 or the CDCl₃ triplet at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.16. Low-resolution ESI mass spectra were acquired by infusion of methanolic solutions at 5 μ L/min by a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus) into a Micromass ZMD 4000 spectrometer. High-resolution ESI mass spectra were similarly acquired in positive ion mode on a Waters XEVO-G2 spectrometer using lock-mass correction with leu-enkephalin as the external lock-mass standard.

Fungal Material and Culture Conditions. Conidia of *M. acridum* were produced using a published protocol.⁸ Briefly, cryogenically preserved mycelial material (ARSEF #3341 and #7486, both derived from IMI-330189, which was isolated from an unidentified grasshopper in Niger) was used to inoculate 100 mL liquid cultures (20 g glucose, 20 g yeast extract/L H₂O) in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks, which were shaken at 150 rpm for 3 days at 20 ± 3 °C. The liquid starter culture was then used to inoculate solid cultures on barley (100 g rolled barley flakes moistened with 60 mL H₂O) in autoclavable perforated polyethylene "spawn bags" (Myco Supply).

Extraction and Isolation. A simple extraction protocol was developed to optimize the yield of 1 and 2. Conidia were suspended in hexane (200 mL/13 g conidia) in 250 mL polypropylene centrifuge bottles. The suspensions were vortexed for 30 s, sonicated for 30 min, and then centrifuged to pellet conidia. The supernatants were decanted and concentrated *in vacuo*. About 160 g of conidia afforded ca. 156 mg of a whitish solid residue. This was then vortexed vigorously in MeOH, and the supernatant was filtered and chromatographed by semipreparative reversed-phase HPLC (Phenomenex Prodigy ODS3; 10 × 250 mm; 5 μ m; 100 Å) eluting at 4 mL/

Journal of Natural Products

min using an isocratic mixture of MeOH (95)/H₂O (5) and UV detection (λ = 215 nm). Fractions containing **1** and **2** were polished using the same HPLC column with a mobile phase consisting of MeCN (9)/H₂O (1). The mixture furnished **1** (18 mg) and **2** (6 mg) of >99% purity (t_R = 6.50 and 5.53 min, respectively).

Metacridamide A (1): colorless plates (MeOH); $[\alpha]^{26}_{\rm D}$ -10.0 (*c* 1.20, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ε) 233 (3.34); ¹H, ¹³C NMR, HSQC, HMBC, ROESY data, see Table 1 and the Supporting Information; HRESIMS obsd m/z 632.3925 [M + Na]⁺; calcd for C₃₇H₅₅NO₆ + Na, 632.3927; HPLC $t_{\rm R}$ = 6.50 min.

Metacridamide B (2): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{24}{}_{\rm D}$ +1.3 (*c* 0.34, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ε) 236 (3.36); ¹H, ¹³C NMR, HSQC, HMBC, ROESY data, see Table 1 and the Supporting Information; HRESIMS obsd *m*/*z* 590.3820 [M + Na]⁺; calcd for C₃₅H₅₃NO₅ + Na, 590.3821; HPLC $t_{\rm R}$ = 5.53 min.

Chiral Amino Acid Analysis. A 0.7 mg sample of 1 was hydrolyzed in a 1:1 mixture of 12 M HCl and propionic acid at 110 °C for 15 h and then dried *in vacuo* to yield a white solid and a brown gum. The latter was removed by trituration with 0.4 mL of dichloromethane. The solid white residue was taken up in 0.25 mL of H₂O. Aliquots of 10 μ L were co-chromatographed with standard D-and L-Phe (Sigma-Aldrich) using a Cu²⁺ ligand-exchange HPLC column (Phenomenex Chirex (D)-penicillamine; 4.6 × 250 mm; 5 μ m) eluted at 1 mL/min with 2 mM CuSO₄ in MeOH/H₂O (3:7); detection by UV absorption at 254 nm.

X-ray Crystallography of 1. X-ray crystallographic data for compound 1 were collected at the F1 beamline at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source using an ADSC Quantum 270 CCD detector that was offset to allow collection of data up to a resolution of 0.87 Å.²³

A single crystal with dimensions of $100 \times 10 \times 5 \ \mu$ m was obtained by dissolving the sample in MeOH, adding 2% HCOOH dropwise to induce precipitation, clearing the solution with another drop of HCOOH, and then slowly evaporating the sample at 20 °C. The crystal was then mounted on a Mitegen micro mesh mount²⁴ using a small amount of highly viscous NVH oil (Hampton Research). Data were collected at 100 K at a wavelength of $\lambda = 0.9177$ Å. Using a microcrystal setup with focusing glass capillary (focal spot diameter 18 μ m) 75 φ -wedges, 5 deg each with 4 s exposure time, were recorded. Data were integrated with XDS and scaled with XSCALE;^{25,26}

15 274 observations were merged into 4991 unique reflections (space group $P2_12_12_1$, $R_{int} = 4.32\%$, 96.4% completeness).

The crystal structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined using full-matrix least-squares methods on F^2 (SHELXL-97).²⁷ Hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically and included in the refinement in the riding model approximation. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Final results for 398 parameters: $R_1 = 5.31\%$, $wR_2 = 13.15\%$, GooF = 1.056.

Bioassays. Metacridamide A (1) was tested against a panel of bacterial and fungal targets in disk-diffusion assays. Escherichia coli and Bacillus cereus were grown on nutrient agar, Colletotrichum acutatum, Botrytis cinerea, and Beauveria bassiana (ARSEF #8354) on potato dextrose agar, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae on 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 1.5% agar, supplemented with either 2% dextrose or 2% glycerol. Plates were spread with 0.6 mL aliquots of a liquid bacterial culture grown for 24 h in nutrient broth, 0.6 mL of a fungal conidial suspension (ca. 1×10^6 conidia/mL H₂O), or with 0.5 mL of a yeast suspension in H_2O adjusted to $OD_{600} = 0.5$. Inoculated plates were allowed to dry for 1 h. Test samples were applied to 7 mm filter paper disks in 10 μ L of MeOH. Disks were air-dried for 1 h and then placed on assay plates. Cultures were monitored for zones of inhibition every 24 h for 4 days. Tetracycline and filipin were used at 10 μ g/disk as positive controls for the bacterial and fungal targets, respectively. MeOH was used at 10 μ L/disk as a negative control.

Using previously published methods 1 was also tested for phytotoxicity in a lettuce seedling elongation assay²⁸ and for insecticidal activity against *Drosophila melanogaster* adults²⁹ and *Aedes aegypti* larvae.⁴

Cytotoxicity Assays. Metacridamides A and B (1 and 2) were assayed for toxicity against Caco-2 (epithelial colorectal adenocarci-

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

(Roche Applied Science).

¹H, ¹³C, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and ROESY spectra of 1 and 2, chiral HPLC amino acid analysis data for 1, 3-D models of 1 with interatomic distances for selected protons, tabulated X-ray data for 1, and tabulated interatomic distance data for selected proton pairs of 1. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: 607-255-2026. E-mail: stuart.krasnoff@ars.usda.gov; sbk1@cornell.edu.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge R. Vaughan and Mary Bodis (USDA-ARS), J. Y. Maeng, J. Zuk (Cornell), and I. Keresztes (Cornell Chemistry and Biology) for technical assistance and I. Molnár (University of Arizona) for helpful discussion. The L. Harrington and B. Lazzaro laboratories (Cornell Entomology) kindly provided insects for bioassays. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source, which is supported by the National Science Foundation under award DMR-0225180, using the MacCHESS facility, supported by award RR-01646 from the National Institutes of Health. Mention of a trademark, proprietary product, or vendor does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products or vendors that may also be suitable.

REFERENCES

(1) Bischoff, J. F.; Rehner, S. A.; Humber, R. A. *Mycologia* **2009**, *101*, 512–530.

(2) Lomer, C. J.; Bateman, R. P.; Johnson, D. L.; Langewald, J.; Thomas, M. B. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 2001, 46, 667–702.

(3) Anonymous. *New Agriculturalist*, September 2009, http://www. new-ag.info/developments/devItem.php?a=943.

(4) Krasnoff, S. B.; Keresztes, I.; Gillilan, R. E.; Szebenyi, D. M. E.; Donzelli, B. G. G.; Churchill, A. C. L.; Gibson, D. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1919–1924.

(5) Krasnoff, S. B.; Sommers, C. H.; Moon, Y.-S.; Donzelli, B. G. G.; Vandenberg, J. D.; Churchill, A. C. L.; Gibson, D. M. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 7083–7088.

(6) Moon, Y. S.; Donzelli, B. G. G.; Krasnoff, S. B.; McLane, H.; Griggs, M. H.; Cooke, P.; Vandenberg, J. D.; Gibson, D. M.; Churchill, A. C. L. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **2008**, 74, 4366–4380.

(7) Donzelli, B. G. G.; Krasnoff, S. B.; Churchill, A. C. L.; Vandenberg, J. D.; Gibson, D. M. Curr. Genet. 2010, 56, 156–162.

(8) Faria, M.; Hajek, A. E.; Wraight, S. P. Biol. Control 2009, 51, 346–354.

(9) Roberts, D. W.; St. Leger, R. J. In *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* Laskin, A. I., Bennet, J. W., Gadd, G., Eds.; Elsevier Inc.: London, 2004; Vol. 54, p. 1–70.

(10) CCDC 840273 contains the crystallographic data for metacridamide A (1). These data can be obtained, free of charge, from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

(11) Schuemann, J.; Hertweck, C. In *The Mycota XV: Physiology and Genetics*; Anke, T., Weber, D., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2009; Vol. *XV*, pp 331–351.

Journal of Natural Products

- (13) Song, Z.; Cox, R. J.; Lazarus, C. M.; Simpson, T. J. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 1196–1203.
- (14) Eley, K. L.; Halo, L. M.; Song, Z.; Powles, H.; Cox, R. J.; Bailey, A. M.; Lazarus, C. M.; Simpson, T. J. *ChemBioChem* **2007**, *8*, 289–297.
- (15) Isaka, M.; Chinthanom, P.; Supothina, S.; Tobwor, P.; Hywel-Jones, N. L. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 2057–2060.
- (16) Gao, Q.; Jin, K.; Ying, S.-H.; Zhang, Y.; Xiao, G.; Shang, Y.;
- Duan, Z.; Hu, X.; Xie, X.-Q.; Zhou, G.; Peng, G.; Luo, Z.; Huang, W.;
- Wang, B.; Fan, W.; Wang, S.; Zhong, Y.; Ma, L.-J.; St. Leger, R. J.; Zhao, G.-P.; Pei, Y.; Feng, M.-G.; Xia, Y.; Wang, C. *PLoS Genet.* 2011, 7, e1001264.

(17) Jacquot, D.; Poeschke, O.; Burger, C. International Patent WO/ 2009/146,772, 2009.

(18) Pittayakhajonwut, P.; Usuwan, A.; Intaraudom, C.; Khoyaiklang, P.; Supothina, S. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 6069–6073.

(19) Stratmann, K.; Burgoyne, D. L.; Moore, R. E.; Patterson, G. M. L.; Smith, C. D. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 7219–7226.

(20) Fex, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 2703-2706.

(21) Cantrell, C. L.; Gustafson, K. R.; Cecere, M. R.; Pannell, L. K.; Boyd, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8825–8829.

- (22) Molnár, I.; Gibson, D. M.; Krasnoff, S. B. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 1241–1275.
- (23) Szebenyi, D. M. E.; Arvai, A.; Ealick, S.; LaIuppa, J. M.; Nielsen, C. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 1997, 4, 128–135.
- (24) Thorne, R. E.; Stum, Z.; Kmetko, J.; O'Neill, K.; Gillilan, R. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 1455–1460.
- (25) Kabsch, W. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1993, 26, 795-800.
- (26) Kabsch, W. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D. Biol. Crystallogr. 2010, D66, 125–132.
- (27) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112–122.
- (28) Krasnoff, S. B.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Wach, M. J.; Loria, R.; Gibson, D. M. J. Agric. Food Chem. **2005**, 53, 9446–9451.
- (29) Krasnoff, S. B.; Gibson, D. M.; Belofsky, G. N.; Gloer, K. B.; Gloer, J. B. J. Nat. Prod. **1996**, 59, 485–489.
- (30) Roehm, N. W.; Rodgers, G. H.; Hatfield, S. M.; Glasebrook, A. L. J. Immunol. Methods 1991, 142, 257–265.